Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/986,591	DELLINGER, TERRY L.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	HENRY N TRAN	2674
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>		
(1) Exr. HENRY N TRAN (PTO).	(3)	
(2) Mr. DAVID L. BANNER (Reg. No. 39,898).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 June 2004	Time: <u>10:30AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: The rejections under 35 USC 103(a).		
Claims discussed: Claims 1-11		
Prior art documents discussed: Jarlance-Huang (U.S. Patent No. 5,668,574), Paley (U.S. Patent	t No. 5,506,605), and Akiyama (U	.S. Patent No. 5,982,356)
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writt record of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Hanny N. John		
	/Applicant's Representative Si	anatura if ann and the
TEVALLILIEN (ADDIICAU)	AMOUNTAINS MEDIESEMISTIVE 21	ionalore – it appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: (a) discussed that the amendment filed 4/1/04 failed to claim the patentable features of a symmetrical, miniature, handheld trackball pointing device; the hand-held input devices of the cited prior art have a housing that could not be retained in a user's hand while freeing the post-metacapal regions of a index, a middle, and a ring finger for other operations, such as typing on the computer keyboard, etc.

(b) agreed that the examiner is authorized to do red lines corrections in the specification, and to make an examiner's amendment to amend claim 1 as specifically described in the Proposed Amendment faxed to the examiner on 6/25/04 for placing the application in condition for allowance.